P12

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references
J.7 34 of 1893
Pol 219-10-16

Later references.

1914. 15
(Police)

File No. 254-15
14

Serial Nos. 1 - 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 4

Part B for May 16

SUBJECT.

Appeal of T. Ramasamiah Police Jamadar, Tirthahulli Tk. against the order of his reduction

---

4) To the Petitioner J 3723 of 17.2.16.
Informed that Govt do not see any reason to interfere in the matter

W D 1851—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

Highland 4-4-16

G.R.S. 11] 1914-15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 257-14

FILE HEADING.

Appeal of T. Ramaswamiah, Police Jamadar, Tirthahalli Tk against the order of his removal.

From

T. Ramaswamiah, Jamadar, Tirthahalli Tk. (on leave)

No. Dated 8-6-15 Received 8-6-15

Diary No. 7385	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards a duplicate of his appeal petition sent through the proper channel.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd. with a draft as directed by the Asst. Secy. on the petition

8-6-15 Vlc

2) Done

8/6

Secn H 12/6

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

580 (2

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol File No. 252 of 1914. 15

Serial No. 2

2)

The I. G. of Police

J. 4268 of 10. 6. 15

Calls for a report together with the records

3 From The D. I. P. no. 1195-B of 21. 8. 15

Forwards the report with encl.

3) The facts of the case are set forth in the Police Suptd's memo.

4) Subd. for orders.

7 L L.

B.G. 30.11.15. Ill.

5) Please put up copy of Govt Res^n No 4532 of 2-80 of 1850 of 17. 3. 1894, and also the file containing the papers on regarding the procedure observed in granting Arms Licenses. Please put up also Govt Res^n No 1966-14 Police dtd 10. 11. 14 of 5. 11. 1914

Pol 1/12

EG 7/12/15

JF 34-1893-4 7 L V

7 L Pol 2140

6) Subd. The order of 1894 as also the file containing the other references are put up.

B.G. 11.12.15. Ill

7) The petitioner was Jamadar in Nagar Salvi. In the correspondence regards an arms license, the Asstt Collector observed that the Jamadar need not have recorded certain statements and he should not do

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

(3)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol File No. 257 of 1914

Serial No.

So in future. The Jamadar submitted in return to the D.S.P that the Inspector who bore a grudge against him had prejudiced the Amildar against him and that the Amild had carried tales against him to the D.S.P and the Dt Commr. Though the Dt Supt asked the Jamadar to withdraw the allegations and submit an apology, he failed to do so, but asked for copies of correspondence. The D.S.P had no alternative but to suspend the Jamadar and submit the papers to the Dt Commr who suspended him for 3 months and reduced the Jamadar to the 2nd Grade.

8) There may not be much justification for the very strong remarks of the Amildar in the first instance, but the Jamadar should not have used this as a handle for accusing his superior in official correspondence as such conduct is subversive of all discipline. The D.S.P gave him an opportunity to withdraw his statements apparently on the ground that the original allegations were unobjectionable. The Jamadar failed to take advantage of it. The punishment awarded under the circumstances by the Dt Commr does not at all appear to be disproportionate having regard to the serious nature of the offence. If approved, the memorial could be rejected.

9) Approved ES 24/3/16

First Member) 10/3/16

10) Draft ES 11/3

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

Pol 14/3

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol File No. 254 of 1914. | (4

Serial No.

11) Draft subd. to the address of the petitioner is not known & to proceed to serve the endorsement through the D.S.

B 29/3

12) Issue 29/4/B

To Mr. S. Ramaswamayya

No. J 3723 17th. Mar. 1914

Informs him that Govt. do not see any reason to interfere in the matter

To The D.S. of Police

No. J 3724 17th. Mar. 14

Forwards copy of the above

NOTE—Contn. sheet

To

The Secretary to Government

General and Revenue Departments,

BANGALORE.

5

J 3825

1914-15

Police

FILE NO. 254-14

ITEM NO. 1

Respected Sir,

I, T. Ramaswamiah, Police Jamedar of the Thirthahally Taluk, Shimoga District (now on leave) respectfully beg to appeal against the order of reduction and suspension passed against me under the following circumstances; by the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District and which on appeal, was confirmed by the Ins Inspector General of Police. Copies of these orders are herewith submitted.

I was a first grade Police Jamader in the Shikarpur Taluk in March 1914. I was transferred from Shikarpur to Rippon-pet Range in the Nagar Taluk " in the interest of the public service." Then I could not without much incon venience and trouble proceed to the new place owing to domestic difficulties. Moreover I apprehended harm from the then incharge Police Inspector, Mr. Kari Naik , as he bore ill-will towards me, when he was the Jamedar of Chennagiri Taluk, and I happened to be acting Inspector there.

I represented these to my departmental officers from the Superintendent to the Inspector General of Police, but with no avail. However, I was granted 3 months' privilege leave and permitted to join duty at Ripponpet after my return from leave. Accordingly, I took charge on 16th June 1914.

In less than a week after I had taken charge at Ripponpet, information reached me that one Narasinga had in his possession a gun without license. I immediately, enquired into the matter and recorded his statement. He made prevaricating statements

(6

statements first that he did not obtain license and then that a license had been issued in his name, but had not been delivered to him.

5 There was a circular No.17 dated 1-4-1914 by the Police Superintendent, Shimoga District. Accordinglto this circular, 2600 persons had obtained licenses in form VII during the year 1908 and all these 2600 licensee expired by the end of December 1912 by effluse of time, of which 2000 persons had neither renewed their licenses or taken out fresh ones during the year 1913 while the remaining 600 persons possessed arms without license and that these 600 defaulters were desired to be traced and reported vide copy of circular enclosed.)

6 As Narasinga had taken out a license in form VII during the year 1908 and which also expired by the end of December 1912 I was led to believe that he was one of the 600 defaulters referred in the corcular quoted above.

7 Since the Range license Register was incomplete and did not furnish information regarding this man's license I was doubtful whether or not to take action against him under the Arms Regulation.

9 I submitted the records with my report No.104 dated 25-6-14 to the Taluq Incharge Inspector soliciting instructions in the matter. Instead of giving me instructions I sought for by reference to the Taluq licensee Register maintained in his office or by getting the requisite information from any other source available, the Inspector forwarded the records to the Amildar Magistrate. But that officer remarked thereupon that the correspondance was unnecessary and uncalled for and that I should be warned against such communications in future (vide his No.95 dated 28-7-14) Instead of keeping me informed whether the man had license for the year 1913 as requested by me. Had the license passed through the Range Police office as directed by the District Magistrate's standing orders, (vide also government proceedings No.qt, TUW No.15, 2-8-0-J.11-0dated 17-3-1914 sent with the Inspector General's circular No.3257-118 dated 30-3-14) there would have been no necessity for my correspondence

(7

4. Such severe remarks from new officer who had hardly occati even to see me and within a week of my having joined the taluq a also other similar remarks naturally led me to think that this w the outcome of the illfeeling of the Inspector towards me. Appr hending further harm, I took the matter to the Superintendent of Police in my report No.87 dated 7-8-14 wherein I represented the non receipt or definite information in the matter of the gun li conse and also explained the strained relation ship between the Inspector and myself. But to my misfortune the Superintendent Police misunderstood me and ordered me in his No.70 dated 9-10- to tender my apology which, I implicitly obeyed in my report No dated 30-10-14. I personally requested the Amildar also on 18-1 to forgive me if I had committed any blunder. After this, I wa in transferred on November 1914 from Ripponpet to Thirthahally taluq and then placed under suspension from 4-12-14. I again p sented on 5-12-14 a second written apology to the Superintenden Finally the Deputy Commissioner passed orders on 14-12-14 limi my suspension to 3 months and reduced me from first grade jama ship to second grade. Neither the District Superintendent of P nor the Deputy Commissioner took any explanation from me in the matter. Thus it will be seen that four kinds of punishment viz (1) Apology (2) Transfer (3) Suspension (4) Reduction have been ted to although I have done nothing to merit such severe punishm

I further beg to state that during my service of 21 years having been started as a Jamadar and promoted as a first class ja dar in 1901, there was not a single instance of any punishment o default against me. I was the senior Jamadar in the Province an have acted as Inspector for about a period of 8 years on several occations. I have been repeatedly recommended by successive officers for a permanant Inspectorship which, but for the prese misfortune would have been an accomplished fact. Refere ce may ly be made to French Rocks Superintendents No. 752 dated 25-2-09 addressed to the Inspector General of Police and also to Shimog Deputy Commissioner's No. 147 dated 15-9-09 and 590 dated 21-9 addressed to the same officer.

(8

~~addressed to the same officer.~~ I beg to state that my services have been specially recognised by the Government in the capture of Pamlore Gang in 1908 in the recovery of decoity properties and silver watch was presented to me. Then also I was recommended for an Inspectorship. I further beg to state that I have on several other occations also, received rewards for good work.

In the above mentioned circumstances, I humbly request that you will be so good as to kindly call for the entire records connected with my case and also my service Register etc. and render me justice by restoring me to my first grade jamabarship and also by cancelling the order ofsuspension, for which act of generosity and kindness, I shall as in duty bound ever feel thankful.

I beg to remain ,

Respected sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Ramanna

8/8-6-15

Humbly submitted.

I have submitted the original through the proper channel viz., the District Superintendent of Police, Shimoga. Being considerably distressed by the grievous wrong done to me by the order under appeal, I venutre to submit this duplicate copy of my appeal with the humble prayer for very early disposal.

T. Ramanna

8/8-6-15

Pol. 254-10

9

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. J.4268 Encl. one petition with 15 Encl

The accompanying Petition Registered

No. J.3825 dated 8.6.15

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from T. Ramaswamiah, Tamasan, Tirthahalli Tk., now on leave, appealing against the order of his reduction

to be returned with the reply.

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the D.G. of Police in Mysore

.....

..... for an early report with the records of the case.

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE, 10/6/1915

B.G. 9.6.15

H. P. T. O.

GPB—1,000—2-11-14

Police

No. 1195/87

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Encl. File &c per Abstract

Dated Bangalore, 18th August 1915.

DIARY No. 5580.

From

Diwan Bahadur C. SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR, A. B. L.,

Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department.

Sir,

With reference to your office memorandum No.J.426(Police 254-14-2 dated the 10th June 1915, forwarding for report a petition from T.Ramaswamiah, Jamedar of Thirtahalli taluk, Shimoga District appealing against the order of his reduction, I have the honor to state as follows-

2. On information received that one Narasinga of Wadageri village in the Ripponpet Range had in his possession a gun without license, the appellant on the 24th June 1914 recorded his statement and forwarded it to the Police Inspector with a request that the license which was said to have been sent for renewal to the Taluk Magistrate may be caused to be sent to the licensee. The Inspector submitted the Jamedar's report to the Amildar Magistrate who sent it back to the Inspector with the remark that it was unsatisfactory on the part of the Jamedar to have recorded the statement of the party unnecessarily; that the Jamedar should be warned not to do so in future and that the said license had been sent by post unpaid.

3. In transmitting the above remark to the Jamedar the

(11

Inspector added that he need not record statements of parties in such circumstances, as it was the lookout of the parties to obtain license; and that they should only be told that in the absence of licenses their guns will have to be deposited in the Police Station.

4. As from the records it was not clear whether Narasinga had or had not a license for 1913, the Jamedar submitted the above records to the District Superintendent of Police for order, and added that the Inspector was not well disposed towards him as he (appellant) while acting as Inspector of the Chennagiri taluk, was instrumental in the passing of certain strictures against the Inspector who was then a Jamedar under him. That on account of this spite, the Inspector misrepresented him to the Amildar under whom the Inspector has been serving from a long time while he was a stranger to the Amildar; and that he also learns that the Amildar has reported against him both to the Deputy Commissioner and the Superintendent.

5. The Superintendent thereupon directed the Jamedar to withdraw his remarks against his superior officers and tender an apology pending which he reserved final orders being passed in the matter and pointed out that official correspondence should not be made the medium for conveying personal attacks. Instead of withdrawing his remarks or tendering any apology the Jamedar stated that he had noted the orders and requested copies of certain correspondence (vide his No.264 dated 30th October 1914, Flag E). Thereupon the District Police Superintendent suspended the Jamedar pending final orders. This was given effect to on the 4th December 1913. On the 5th idem the Jamedar submitted in person to the Superintendent a written apology, but previous to this i.e. on the 3rd December 1913 the District Police Superintendent had submitted a Memo to the Deputy

(12

Commissioner recommending the reduction of the Jamedar to the 2nd grade. The Deputy Commissioner thereon ordered the suspension of the Jamedar for three months and reduced him to 2nd Grade Jamedari.

6. Against this order of suspension and reduction Jamedar Ramaswamiah appealed to me. I also heard him and I went through the records carefully and after consideration found that I could not but share the views of the Deputy Commissioner that the Jamedar should have so far lost his sense of duty as not to have taken advantage of the opportunities given to him to retrieve his conduct and apologize to his superior officers against whom he had cast aspersions and therefore saw no grounds to interfere with the order.

7. The appeal petition received with your Memo under reply and all the records connected with his case are herewith forwarded as per **pherist.**

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

Police 254-14
Gl. no. 4

(13

Thro'un D.F. of Police in Mysore

No. J 3723/Encls. dt/17th March, 1916.

Endorsement granted to T. Ramaswamiah Police Jamadar, Tirthahalli Taluk, (now on leave on pvt affrs to m. petition registered no. J 3825 dated the 6th June 1915 appealing against the order of suspension

---

The petitioner is informed that on a perusal of the records connected with the case Govt. do not see any reason to interfere in the matter

The petition is therefore rejected

The enclosures with reference ~~to the petition~~ herewith are returned

By Order

for Secretary to Govt.
Gl. Dept.

No. J 3724/Enc: one file of records. dt/17.3.1916.

Copy to the D.F. of Police in Mysore ~~for information~~ with reference to his letter no 1195-87 dt/18.8.15. returning the records received

By Order

for Secretary to Govt
Gl. Dept

ಅಥವಾ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆ

4. ದಾಖಲೆಯ ಭಾಷೆ.

5. ಪೂರ್ಣ ಹೆಸರು (ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)

ಅನುಬಂಧ ಈ ನಿಯಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 16)

ವಿದ್ಯಾಂಸರ ದಾಖಲೆ ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಪತ್ರ.

---

1. ಸಂಶೋಧಕರ ವಿದ್ಯಾಂಸರ ಪರವಾಗಿ
ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:

2. ಕಡತ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಮತ್ತು ವರ್ಷ

Police

1

2. 1. 255 of 1914 (1-2)

3.

4. 2 31 of 1917

5. 3 110 A of 1917 (1-10)

4 1 91 of 1918 (1-2)

3. ಅಧ್ಯಯನದ ವಿಷಯ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ
ಅಥವಾ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆ.

4. ದಾಖಲೆಯ ಭಾಷೆ.

5. ಪೂರ್ಣ ಹೆಸರು (ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)

ಅರ್ಜಿ 7/8/04

CB. M. CHANDANA Gowda